UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,603	11/25/2003	Bernard O. Geaghan	59080US002	5621
	7590 02/10/200 IVE PROPERTIES CO	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427	•	NGUYEN, JIMMY H		
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/721,603	GEAGHAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JIMMY H. NGUYEN	2629		

	JIIVIIVIT TI. NGOTEN	2029	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	r).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the second se	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment filed after a filed a			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reject	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	/Jimmy H Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2629	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that in the case (i), when the tip portion 22 is NOT in contact with an input surface and switch 21s is ON, the red LED provides a maximum light output and the stylus emits a combination of green and red light. See pages 5-6 of the amendment, specifically page 6, lines 17-19 and page 7, lines 1-2. Examiner assumes the Applicant is correct. Applicant goes on and argues that in operating from case (i) to case (ii), since there is no abrupt change from red to blue or vice versa, thus there is no abrupt change in the light beam when the tip of the stylus sufficiently contacts the input surface (see page 7, lines 2-12). Examiner disagrees because claim 1 does not require "abrupt change from red to blue". Further, claim 1 requires "abrupt change in LIGHT BEAM when the tip of the stylus sufficiently contacts the input surface". As asserted by Applicant, the Ogawa stylus emits a combined/mixed light beam including green and maximum red in the case (i) (see the amendment, page 6, lines 17-19 and page 7, lines 1-2) and emits a combined/mixed light beam including red and blue in the case (ii) (see the amendment, page 7, lines 2-5). Note that a (green and red) color of the combined/mixed light beam in the case (ii) is apparently different from a color (blue and red) of the combined/mixed light beam in the case (ii).

Further, note that in case (ii) or (iii), a color of a combined/mixed light beam can be varied differently. For example, in case (ii), when the stylus is brought into contact with the input surface with a minimum pressure such that the blue LED does not emit or emit with a minimum blue light and the red LED emits with maximum red light, a light beam emitted from the stylus has maximum red and minimum blue. Still in the case (ii), when the stylus is abruptly brought into contact with the input surface with a maximum pressure such that the red LED does not emit or emit with a minimum red light and the blue LED emits with maximum blue light, a light beam emitted from the stylus has maximum blue and minimum red.

For the above reasons, the rejections in the Final Office Action dated 11/28/2008 are maintained.